

townhall.virginia.gov

Fast-Track Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Virginia Waste Management Board
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation(s)	9VAC20-200
Regulation title(s)	Mercury Switch Regulations
Action title	Repeal of Mercury Switch Regulations since statutory authority for the regulations has expired
Date this document prepared	March 23, 2016

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 17 (2014) and 58 (1999), and the Virginia Register *Form, Style, and Procedure Manual.*

Brief summary

Please provide a brief summary (preferably no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

The Mercury Switch Regulations were adopted in response to Chapters 16 and 163 of the 2006 Acts of Assembly. The provisions of Chapters 16 and 163 of the 2006 Acts of Assembly were to expire on July 1, 2012. Chapter 311 of the 2011 Acts of Assembly extended the provisions of these Chapters to July 1, 2015. The provisions of these Acts of Assembly have expired and §10.1-1402 of the Code of Virginia no longer authorizes the Waste Management Board to "adopt regulations concerning the criteria and standards for removal of mercury switches by vehicle demolishers." As a result, this regulation is being repealed.

Acronyms and definitions

Please define all acronyms used in the Agency Background Document. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.

Not applicable.

Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including:1) the date the action was taken; 2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

At its meeting on June 20, 2016, the Virginia Waste Management Board authorized the department to:

1. Promulgate the proposal for public comment using the fast-track process established in § 2.2-4012.1 of the Administrative Process Act for regulations expected to be non-controversial. The board's authorization also constituted its repeal of the regulation at the end of the public comment period provided that (i) no objection to use of the fast-track process is received from 10 or more persons, or any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, and (ii) the department does not find it necessary, based on public comments or for any other reason, to make any changes to the proposal

2. Set an effective date 15 days after close of the 30-day public comment period provided (i) the proposal completes the fast-track rulemaking process as provided in § 2.2-4012.1 of the Administrative Process Act and (ii) the department does not find it necessary to make any changes to the proposal.

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including: 1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.

The statutory authority that allowed the Virginia Waste Management Board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Purpose

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Describe the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Rationale for using fast-track process

Please explain the rationale for using the fast-track process in promulgating this regulation. Why do you expect this rulemaking to be noncontroversial?

This regulatory action is expected to be noncontroversial. The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Substance

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both. A more detailed discussion is provided in the "Detail of changes" section below.

The regulation is being repealed.

Issues

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Repealing this regulation will benefit both the public and the Department since this action removes regulatory requirements that are no longer applicable. There are no disadvantages to the public of the Department.

Collectively automobile manufacturers have implemented a voluntary national automotive mercury switch removal program managed by End of Life Vehicle Solutions. End of Life Vehicle Solutions manages a national program to collect, transport, retort, recycle, or dispose of elemental mercury from automotive switches. This national initiative will continue to facilitate the removal of mercury from vehicles prior to vehicles being recycled and repeal of this regulation will not impact Virginia vehicle recyclers participating in this national program.

Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed. There are no applicable federal requirements concerning the removal of mercury switches from vehicles.

Localities particularly affected

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

No locality is particularly affected by this regulation or the repeal of this regulation.

Regulatory flexibility analysis

Pursuant to § 2.2-4007.1B of the Code of Virginia, please describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.

This section is not applicable. The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Public participation

Please include a statement that in addition to any other comments on the regulation, the agency is seeking comments on the costs and benefits of the proposal, the potential impacts on the regulated community and the impacts of the regulation on farm or forest land preservation.

In addition to any other comments, the Board is seeking comments on the costs and benefits of the proposal, the potential impacts on the regulated community and on any impacts of the regulation on farm and forest land preservation. Also, the agency/board is seeking information on impacts on small businesses as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia. Information may include 1) projected reported, recordkeeping and other administrative costs, 2) probable effect of the regulation on affected small businesses, and 3) description of less intrusive or costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the regulation.

Anyone wishing to submit written comments for the public comment file may do so by mail, email or fax to Melissa Porterfield, Office of Regulatory Affairs, P.O. BOX 1105, Richmond, VA 23218, phone: 804-698-4238, FAX: 804-698-4019, email: <u>melissa.porterfield@deq.virginia.gov</u>. Comments may also be submitted through the Public Forum feature of the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall web site at: <u>www.townhall.virginia.gov</u>. Written comments must include the name and address of the commenter. In order to be considered, comments must be received by 11:59 pm on the last day of the public comment period.

Public participation notice

If an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules; or the Department finds it necessary, based on public comments or for any other reason, to make any changes to the proposal, the agency shall: 1) file notice of the objection/reason with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register; and 2) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

Economic impact

Please identify the anticipated economic impact of the proposed new regulations or amendments to the existing regulation. When describing a particular economic impact, please specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact.

Projected cost to the state to implement and	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
enforce the proposed regulation, including:	repealed.
a) fund source / fund detail; and	
b) a delineation of one-time versus on-going	
expenditures	
Projected cost of the new regulations or	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
changes to existing regulations on localities.	repealed.
Description of the individuals, businesses, or	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
other entities likely to be affected by the new	repealed.
regulations or changes to existing regulations.	
Agency's best estimate of the number of such	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
entities that will be affected. Please include an	repealed.
estimate of the number of small businesses	
affected. Small business means a business entity,	
including its affiliates, that:	
a) is independently owned and operated and;	
b) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or	
has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million.	
All projected costs of the new regulations or	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
changes to existing regulations for affected	repealed.
individuals, businesses, or other	
entities. Please be specific and include all	
costs including:	
a) the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and	
other administrative costs required for	
compliance by small businesses; and	
b) specify any costs related to the development	
of real estate for commercial or residential	
purposes that are a consequence of the	
proposed regulatory changes or new	
regulations.	
Beneficial impact the regulation is designed	This is not applicable since this regulation is being
to produce.	repealed.

Alternatives

Please describe any viable alternatives to the proposal considered and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the action. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulation.

This section is not applicable. The statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired and this regulation is being repealed.

Family impact

Please assess the impact of this regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

This regulatory action will not have an impact on the institution of the family and family stability.

Detail of changes

Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes; explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation. If the proposed regulation is a new chapter, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Please describe the difference between existing regulation(s) and/or agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory action. If the proposed regulation is intended to replace an <u>emergency</u> <u>regulation</u>, please list separately: (1) all differences between the **pre**-emergency regulation and this proposed regulation; and 2) only changes made since the publication of the emergency regulation.

The entire regulation is being repealed since the statutory authority that allowed the board to adopt this regulation has expired.